

Proposal Title :	Dubbo LEP 2011 - s73A Amendment - Schedule 5 and Lot Size Map Amendment			
Proposal Summary :	This s73A amendment seeks to reinstate 2 heritage items shown on the Heritage Maps which have been inadvertently deleted from Schedule 5 as part of Amendment 4, and also to replace an incorrect Lot Size Map Sheet (LSZ_008B) which forms part of Amendment 6.			
PP Number :	PP_2015_DUBBO_002	_00	Dop File No :	15/11416
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	15-Jun-2015		LGA covered :	Dubbo
Region :	Western		RPA :	Dubbo City Council
State Electorate :	DUBBO		Section of the Act :	73A - Minor Matter
LEP Type :	73A			٠.
Location Details				
Street : 4 Mi	tchell Street			
Suburb :		City :	Dubbo	Postcode : 2830
Land Parcel : Lot :	3 DP 816639			
Street : 6 Mi	tchell Street			
Suburb :		City :	Dubbo	Postcode : 2830
Land Parcel : Lot :	2 DP 816639			
DoP Planning Offic	er Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Jessica Holland			:
Contact Number :	0268412180			
Contact Email :	jessica.holland@planni	ng.nsw.go	v.au	
RPA Contact Detail	S			
Contact Name :	Steven Jennings			
Contact Number :	0268014000			
Contact Email :	Steven.Jennings@dubb	o.nsw.gov	/.au	
DoP Project Manag	er Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey			
Contact Number :	0268412180			
Contact Email :	wayne.garnsey@plannii	ng.nsw.go	v.au	

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	There have been no known meeting	ngs or communications with	registered lobbyists.
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Dubbo LEP 2011 (DLEP) was ame 2015. There were two errors in DL items which are shown on the Her reason. The second error was tha published.	EP Amendment 4. The first erritage Maps were deleted from	rror was that two heritage n Schedule 5 for no known
	The purpose of the LEP amendment is to correct Schedule 5, Part 1 of the LEP to include the two heritage items. These heritage items are described as follows:		
	I165 - Cottage - 4 Mitchell Street, I I166 - Cottage - 6 Mitchell Street, I		
	The purpose of the LEP amendme (LSZ_008B) with that which shoul intended to form part of the next s 6.	d have been published. The p	oublished map sheet was
	DLEP Amendment 6 is currently b DLEP Amendment 6 proposes to south-east Dubbo. As a result of t lots are incorrectly shown as alre- viewing the planning proposal that removed from these lots. Council these lots subsequently, as part of DLEP Amendment 6. The reinstate maps being prepared for DLEP Ar	remove the minimum lot size the publication of the wrong L ady having no minimum lot si at the minimum lot size was n always intended to remove the of the suite of amendments pre- ement of the minimum lot size nendment No. 6.	for a number of lots in Lot Size Map Sheet, these ize. It is obvious from ever intended to be he minimum lot size on roposed to be introduced via e corresponds with the
	The amendments have been asse Standard Instrument (Local Envir intended outcomes of Amendmen	onmental Plans) Order 2006 a	nd are consistent with the

The General Manager, Western Region has formed the opinion that the amendments do not warrant compliance with the conditions precedent for making of the instrument because it will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment or adjoining land.

The amendment to the DLEP is made by the General Manager, Western Region, as a delegate of the Minister for Planning under Section 73A(1)(c) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979.

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? No

Comment :

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

N/A

Is an explanation of provisions provided? No

Comment : N/A

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

Is the Director General's agreement required? N/A

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A

If No, explain :

The proposal was assessed as being consistent with the Section 117 Directions and the relative SEPP's during the assessment of Amendment 4 and Amendment 6.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? No

Comment : Mapping is being prepared by POC GIS.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? No

Comment : No community consultation is required.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in The DLEP was notified on 11 November 2011. relation to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The making of DLEP Amendment 4 inadvertently removed 2 heritage items from Schedule 5.
	DLEP Amendment 6 has published the incorrect Lot Size Map Sheet (LSZ_008B).
	The s73A Amendment is required and the most appropriate mechanism to rectify these errors.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The amendments consistency with the strategic planning framework was considered during the assessment of Amendments 4 and 6.

Environmental social N/A economic impacts :

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	Nil
Timeframe to make LEP :	0 months		Delegation :	Nil
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :				
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?		Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b): No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional s	tudies, if required.			
If Other, provide reason	s :			

Identify any internal cons	
No internal consultation	1 required
	ing of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No
If Yes, reasons :	
ocuments	
Document File Name	DocumentType Name Is Public
anning Team Recomr	nendation
Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions
S.117 directions:	
Additional Information :	The matter should proceed to be made via Section 73A(1)(c).
	No conditions are required and no community consultation is required.
Supporting Reasons :	The amendments have been assessed as consistent with the Section 117 Directions, Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) Order 2006 and are consistent with the intended outcomes of Amendments 4 and 6 of DLEP.
	The General Manager, Western Region has formed the opinion that the amendments do not warrant compliance with the conditions precedent for making of the instrument because they will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment or adjoining land.
	The amendment to the DLEP 2011 can be made by the General Manager, Western Region as a delegate of the Minister for Planning under Section 73A(1)(c) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
Signature:	Attonaldo
	LENNA MONRIDO Date: 27/7/15.

Endorsed Wyammy 27/7/15 Teamleades